IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Jukka HEISKA

Serial No.: 09/699,863

Filed: October 30, 2000

For: Content Converter Portal

Mail Stop **Appeal Brief - Patents** Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Examiner: Nguyen Thanh T. Group Art: 2144

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by electronic transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office using EFS-Web, on

> October 9, 2007 (Date of Deposit)

Alfred W. Froebrich

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

/Alfred W. Froebrich/

Signature

October 9, 2007
Date of Signature

APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF

SIR:

This is appellant's reply brief in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed August 7, 2007 in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §41.41.

The Examiner's Answer makes new points of argument within section (10) Response to Argument.

The Examiner states that the limitation "an apparatus with logic for converting information and sending means for routing the adjusted content through the data network" is disclosed on page 9, lines 1-7, of Carlino. However, this section of Carlino merely states that an original electronic document is converted from a first markup language to a second markup language and that the second markup language is suitable for display on the wireless device. The

adjusted content is not routed through the data network. Rather, it is sent from the content

converter 16 to the wireless gateway 14 to the wireless device 12 via a wireless network (see Fig.

1). Once the electronic document is converted to the second markup language in Carlino, the

thus adjusted content is not routed back into the data network, i.e., the world wide web.

The Examiner further states in the Response to argument that the motivation to

send the adjusted content back through the internet is found on page 7 of Carlino. However, that

portion of Carlino merely states that it is desirable to convert an electronic document to a form

that is useable on an electronic device. However, Carlino teach that the conversion is performed

in a content converter 16 that is connected directly to a wireless gateway 14. Thus, after the

document is converted, it is sent directly through the wireless gateway 14 to the wireless terminal

without having to be routed through any part of the world wide web.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, and for all the reasons listed in Appellant's Brief on

Appeal, it is respectfully submitted that appellants' claims are not rendered obvious by and are,

therefore, patentable over the art of record, and the Examiner's rejections should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP

/Alfred W. Froebrich/ By_{-}

Alfred W. Froebrich

Reg. No. 38,887

551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210

New York, New York 10176

(212) 687-2770

Dated: October 9, 2007

-2-